

1. Large sheet, so no geometric effects & $\gamma = 1$
 $K \rightarrow K_{Ic}$ when $\sigma = 500 \text{ MPa}$, $a = 25 \text{ mm}$
 $K_{Ic} = \sigma \sqrt{\pi a} = 500 \sqrt{\pi \times 0.025} = 140 \text{ MPa}\sqrt{\text{m}}$
 Having established this critical value, then
 $\sigma_c = K_{Ic} / \sqrt{\pi a} = 140 / \sqrt{\pi \times 0.05} = \underline{354 \text{ MPa}}$

2. Using a safety factor of $n = 1.5$ implies that the design will be put into service with a background stress of $\sigma = S_y / n$. Assume the configuration is essentially a large plate with $\gamma = 1$. Criticality will occur when $K \rightarrow K_{Ic}$ assuming LEFM only. Then
 $K_{Ic} = \sigma \sqrt{\pi a_c}$ or $a_c = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{K_{Ic}}{\sigma} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{n K_{Ic}}{S_y} \right)^2$
 Evaluating this for the two materials:
 a) $2a_c = \frac{2}{\pi} (1.5 \times 70 / 1200)^2 \times 10^3 = 4.9 \text{ mm}$
 b) $2a_c = \frac{2}{\pi} (1.5 \times 50 / 1800)^2 \times 10^3 = 1.1 \text{ mm}$
 Since the design stress is a constant fraction of the yield, then material toughnesses must increase in proportion to yields, if materials are to possess the same defect tolerance. However an inverse relationship usually applies, as it does here. That is, a high strength (yield) material is NOT the best and end-all when brittle fracture is possible.

3. This is similar to example of NDT - superposition of tension & bending - except that crack size must be found by trial-and-error.

Let $\alpha = a/w$; $w = 100 \text{ mm} = 0.1 \text{ m}$

Tension, case (b) - $\sigma = P/A = 250 \times 10^3 / 100 \times 20 = 125 \text{ MPa}$

$\therefore K_{It} = \sigma \sqrt{\pi a}$ $\gamma_t = 125 \sqrt{\pi \times 0.1}$ γ_t

where $\gamma_t = ((1.2 + \alpha(2.91\alpha - 0.64)) / (1 - 0.93\alpha))$

Bending, case (a) - $\sigma = 6M/bw^2 = 6 \times 250 \times 10^3 / 20 \times 100^2 = 75 \text{ MPa}$

$\therefore K_{Ib} = \sigma \sqrt{\pi a}$ $\gamma_b = 75 \sqrt{\pi \times 0.1}$ γ_b

where $\gamma_b = ((1.2 + \alpha(2.62\alpha - 1.59)) / (1 - 0.7\alpha))$

So, tabulating

trial α	0.1	0.2	0.15	0.14	0.143 = α_c
K_{It}	26.5	42.7	34.4	32.8	33.2 since
K_{Ib}	14.1	19.8	17.1	16.5	16.7 = K_{Ic}
total	40.6	62.5	51.5	49.3	50.0

The critical crack length is $0.143 \times 100 = \underline{14 \text{ mm}}$