

$$D_1 = 125 \quad D_2 = 271 \quad C = 458$$

$$\therefore \alpha = \arcsin(271-125)/(2 \times 458) = 9.17^\circ$$

So, for various  $\phi$ , from (vi)

$$\rightarrow \begin{cases} s = h_x \sin(\phi + 9.17) - (-150) \cos(\phi + 9.17) + 62.5 \\ t = h_x \sin(\phi - 9.17) - (-150) \cos(\phi - 9.17) - 62.5 \end{cases}$$

where  $h_x$  remains to be chosen.

From (6)

$$b) \quad t \uparrow + s \downarrow = h_x$$

From (4a)

$$c) \quad \frac{f}{f} - \frac{f}{f} = P/W_0 = \frac{3930}{36 \times 9.81 \times 9.4} = 1.18$$

where 15% is added to the motor's bare mass to allow for base frame.

$$\text{hence } m = 31 \times 1.15 = 36 \text{ kg.}$$

The limiting tension ratio, from (3)

$$(f_0)_1 = \frac{1}{6} \cos 19^\circ (\pi - 2 \times 9.17^\circ) = 1.444$$

$$(f_0)_2 = \frac{1}{6} \cos 90^\circ (\pi + 2 \times 9.17^\circ) = 0.577$$

$$d) \therefore \frac{f}{f} \leq e^{(f_0)_{\min}} = e^{0.577} = 1.781$$

The solution process involves selecting a likely looking  $h_x$ . For various  $\phi$ , the slack and tight side movement  $s$  and  $t$  follows from a). The normalised tensions  $f$  and  $f$  then follow from simultaneous solution of b) and c) - their ratio must satisfy d).

A short program was written to display results, with output shown overlaid.

The first graph is for  $h_x = 250 \text{ mm}$ .

This is unsatisfactory because viable solutions appear only in the window

- from  $\phi \approx -25^\circ$ , when  $f, f \rightarrow \infty$

- to  $\phi \approx -12^\circ$ , when  $f/f = 1.78$

and similarly  $135^\circ \leq \phi \leq 140^\circ$ .

The same sort of behaviour was evident for other  $h_x$ , which pointed to need for weight argumentation.

Experimenting with the program eventually led to the second plot, still with  $h_x = 250 \text{ mm}$ . But now

$$P/W_0 = 0.25$$

$$\text{ie. } W_{\text{motor effective}} = \frac{3930}{9.81 \times 9.4 \times 0.25} = 170 \text{ kg.}$$