

1. (a) using (2b) with  $D_1 = 100$ ,  $D_2 = 1.5 \times 100 = 150$  mm  
 then  $g = 707.3$  and  $c \approx 352.8$  mm  
 checking length, from (2a) with  $c = 353$ , is O.K.  
 Note that centre distance to nearest mm is more than adequate, in view of belt tolerances.
- (b) - as (a) with  $D_2 = 200$  mm gives  $c = 310$  mm
- (c) - as (a) with  $D_2 = 315$  mm gives  $c \approx 194.3$  mm  
 and checking length from (2a) gives  $L = 1102$  mm.  
 So, by trial and error,  $c = 193 \rightarrow L = 1100$  mm.  
 Conclusion - (2b) is generally very enough without further trials.  
 Note that installation would be different here as  $L$  is only just  $> (D_1 + D_2)/2$ , the minimum.

2(a)  $k\pi = 1 - \exp(-0.512\pi) = 0.800$   
 $v = \pi d n = \pi \times 0.1 \times 4200/60 = 22.0$  m/s  
 $P_0 = k\pi v [F(L_0/2.9T_0)^{0.99} - M/D - P_0^2]$  - see (5b)  
 $= 0.8 \times 22.0 [3216 (1.717/2.22.0 \times 93.6E6)^{0.99} - 23.93/0.1 - 0.09682 \times 22.0^2]$   
 $= 17.6 (460.4 - 239.3 - 46.8) = 3.07$  kW  
 which tallies with the code.

- (b) Repeating (a) with  $L = 3080$  mm replacing  $L = 1717$  mm  
 $P_{\pi} = 3.50$  kW.

So increased belt length gives increased capacity by factor of  $3.50/3.07 = 1.14$ . This is not quite in accord with code value of 1.13 since this latter is an approximation to cover all drives with a 3080 mm belt.

- (c) Repeating (a) with  $T = 10E3 \times 3600 = 36E6$  s in lieu of  $T_0$   
 $P_{\pi} = 3.79$  kW - an increase of 24% on  $P_0$ .

3. Insert values into (5b) to allow repetitive solution for  $P_{\pi}$  (kW) for given  $D$  (m) and  $v$  (m/s): -

$$P_{\pi} = 0.8 v [3216 (1.717/2 v \times 93.6E6)^{0.99} - 23.93/d - 0.09682 v^2] \times 10^{-3}$$

kW  $\frac{m}{s} [N (m \frac{s}{m} \frac{1}{s}) Nm \frac{1}{m} \frac{kg}{m} \frac{m^3}{s^2} = N] kN/N$

$$= 0.4863 v^{0.91} - 0.0194 v/d - 0.07743 \times 10^{-3} v^3 \text{ kW}$$

values of which are plotted overleaf.

A second plot, of peak rating from the above formula versus pulley diameter, illustrates clearly the diminishing gains to be had from increase in pulley size.

From (5c) the effectiveness,  $\rho$ , is:-

$$\rho = (P/Fk_0 v z) (2.9 T_0/L_0)^{0.99}$$

$$= (P_{\pi} \times 10^3 / 3216 \times 0.8 \times v \times 1) (2.9 \times 93.6E6 / 1.717)^{0.99}$$